


Measurements of $|V_{cb}|$ and $|V_{ub}|$ from Belle (II)



Lu Cao

(for the Belle & Belle II Collaboration)

BEAUTY 2023 @ Clermont-Ferrand



Content

Measurements covered in this talk:

Exclusive $|V_{cb}|$:

- Had. tagged $B^0 \rightarrow D^* \ell \nu$
- Had. tagged $B \rightarrow D^* \ell \nu$ and shapes of key kinematic variables

Exclusive $|V_{ub}|$:


- Untagged $B^0 \rightarrow \pi^- \ell \nu$


Inclusive $|V_{ub}|$:

- Partial & differential branching fractions of $B \rightarrow X_u \ell \nu$

Combined measurements:

- Excl. $|V_{ub}|$ / incl. $|V_{ub}|$
- Incl. $|V_{ub}|$ / incl. $|V_{cb}|$







Branching Fraction of $B^0 \rightarrow D^* \ell \nu$ and $|V_{cb}|$

Preliminary

- Decay chain: $B^0 \rightarrow D^{*+} \ell \nu$, $D^{*+} \rightarrow D^0 \pi^+$, $D^0 \rightarrow K^- \pi^+$
- Data set of 189.3 fb^{-1} with untagged strategy (higher efficiency than tagged)
- Select events with energetic lepton $p^{\text{CM}} > 1.2 \text{ GeV}$, and $\Delta M = M(D^{*+}) - M(D^0) = [0.141, 0.156] \text{ GeV}$, $\cos\theta_{BY} = [-4, 2]$
- 2D binned likelihood fit on $(\cos\theta_{BY}, \Delta M)$ for each bin of kinematic variables: w , $\cos\theta_\ell$, $\cos\theta_\nu$, χ
- Systematic shape variations incorporated as bin-wise Nuisance para. for each fit template



$$\cos\theta_{BY} = \frac{2E_B^{\text{CM}} E_Y^{\text{CM}} - m_B^2 - m_Y^2}{2|\vec{p}_B^{\text{CM}}| |\vec{p}_Y^{\text{CM}}|}$$



integral projection

Branching Fraction of $B^0 \rightarrow D^* \ell \nu$ and $|V_{cb}|$

Preliminary

- Unfold signal yields using **singular-value-decomposition (SVD)**
- Full post-unfolding stat. & syst. covariance propagated into partial decay rate

$$\Delta\Gamma_i = \frac{\text{reco. eff \& acc.} \cdot y_i^{\text{unfolded}}}{\epsilon_i N_{B^0} \mathcal{B}(D^{*+} \rightarrow D^0 \pi^+) \mathcal{B}(D^0 \rightarrow K^- \pi^+) \tau_{B^0}} \quad \text{input of PDG2022}$$





$$\Gamma = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{10} \Delta\Gamma_i^w + \sum_{i=1}^8 \Delta\Gamma_i^{\cos\theta_\ell} + \sum_{i=1}^{10} \Delta\Gamma_i^{\cos\theta_V} + \sum_{i=1}^{10} \Delta\Gamma_i^\chi \right) / 4$$

Branching fraction extracted by the **total rate** summing over partial decay rates and averaging all kin. variables

$$e \text{ mode: } \mathcal{B}(\bar{B}^0 \rightarrow D^{*+} e^- \bar{\nu}_e) = (4.94 \pm 0.03 \pm 0.22)\%$$

$$\mu \text{ mode: } \mathcal{B}(\bar{B}^0 \rightarrow D^{*+} \mu^- \bar{\nu}_\mu) = (4.94 \pm 0.03 \pm 0.24)\%$$


$$\text{Average: } \mathcal{B}(\bar{B}^0 \rightarrow D^{*+} \ell^- \bar{\nu}_\ell) = (4.94 \pm 0.02 \pm 0.22)\%$$



Branching Fraction of $B^0 \rightarrow D^* \ell \nu$ and $|V_{cb}|$

Preliminary

- Include all measured w , $\cos\theta_\ell$, $\cos\theta_\nu$, χ to extract form factor & $|V_{cb}|$
- Fit differential shapes with form factor expansion based on **Caprini-Lellouch-Neubert (CLN)** [Nucl. Phys. B530, 153 (1998)] & **Boyd-Grinstein-Lebed (BGL)** parameterisations [Phys. Rev. D56, 6895 (1997)]
- BGL truncation based on nested hypothesis test
- Inclusion of LQCD constraint [Eur. Phys. J. C 82, 1141 (2022)] at beyond zero-recoil ($w = [1.03, 1.10, 1.17]$) in two scenarios



$$|V_{cb}| \eta_{EW} \mathcal{F}(1) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{m_B m_{D^*}}} \left(\frac{|\tilde{b}_0|}{P_f(0) \phi_f(0)} \right)$$

$$|V_{cb}|_{\text{BGL}} = (40.9 \pm 0.3 \pm 1.0 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-3}$$

$$|V_{cb}|_{\text{CLN}} = (40.4 \pm 0.3 \pm 1.0 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-3}$$

Slow pion eff. plays leading role in syst.

Input from LQCD at zero-recoil $\mathcal{F}(1)$

Branching Fraction of $B^0 \rightarrow D^* \ell \nu$ and $|V_{cb}|$




Preliminary

- Include all measured w , $\cos\theta_\ell$, $\cos\theta_\nu$, χ to extract form factor & $|V_{cb}|$
- Fit differential shapes with form factor expansion based on **Caprini-Lellouch-Neubert (CLN)** [Nucl. Phys. B530, 153] & **Boyd-Grinstein-Lebed (BGL)** parameterisations [Phys. Rev. D56, 6895]
- BGL truncation based on nested hypothesis test [Phys. Rev. D100, 013005]
- Inclusion of LQCD constraint [Eur. Phys. J. C 82, 1141 (2022)] at beyond zero-recoil ($w = [1.03, 1.10, 1.17]$) in two scenarios

Preliminary

BGL ₁₂₂	Constraints on $h_{A_1}(w)$	Constraints on $h_{A_1}(w), R_1(w), R_2(w)$
$a_0 \times 10^3$	21.7 ± 1.4	25.7 ± 0.8
$b_0 \times 10^3$	13.20 ± 0.24	13.58 ± 0.23
$b_1 \times 10^3$	-7 ± 7	2 ± 6
$c_1 \times 10^3$	-1.1 ± 0.8	-0.5 ± 0.8
$ V_{cb} \times 10^3$	40.5 ± 1.2	38.6 ± 1.1
χ^2/ndf	40/33	74/39
p -value	0.18	0.001

$|V_{cb}|$ shifts when include LQCD full constraints



Similar tension seen in recent Belle (2023) measurement [arXiv:2301.07529]
 \Rightarrow Both found large disagreements wrt LQCD results on R_2


Branching Fraction of $B^0 \rightarrow D^* \ell \nu$ and $|V_{cb}|$

Preliminary

- Lepton-flavor-universality tested with separate results on e- & mu-mode
- All in **good agreement with SM expectations**

Test on branching fraction ratio: $R_{e/\mu} = 1.001 \pm 0.009 \pm 0.021$

Preliminary



Test on forward-backward asymmetry:

$$\mathcal{A}_{\text{FB}} = \frac{\int_0^1 d \cos \theta_\ell d\Gamma/d \cos \theta_\ell - \int_{-1}^0 d \cos \theta_\ell d\Gamma/d \cos \theta_\ell}{\int_0^1 d \cos \theta_\ell d\Gamma/d \cos \theta_\ell + \int_{-1}^0 d \cos \theta_\ell d\Gamma/d \cos \theta_\ell}$$

$$\Delta \mathcal{A}_{\text{FB}} = \mathcal{A}_{\text{FB}}^\mu - \mathcal{A}_{\text{FB}}^e$$

Preliminary

$$\mathcal{A}_{\text{FB}}^e = 0.219 \pm 0.011 \pm 0.020,$$

$$\mathcal{A}_{\text{FB}}^\mu = 0.215 \pm 0.011 \pm 0.022,$$

$$\Delta \mathcal{A}_{\text{FB}} = (-4 \pm 16 \pm 18) \times 10^{-3}$$

Test on D* longitudinal polarization fraction:

$$\frac{1}{\Gamma} \frac{d\Gamma}{d \cos \theta_V} = \frac{3}{2} \left(F_L \cos^2 \theta_V + \frac{1 - F_L}{2} \sin^2 \theta_V \right)$$

$$\Delta F_L = F_L^\mu - F_L^e$$

Preliminary

$$F_L^e = 0.521 \pm 0.005 \pm 0.007$$

$$F_L^\mu = 0.534 \pm 0.005 \pm 0.006$$

$$\Delta F_L = 0.013 \pm 0.007 \pm 0.007$$



$|V_{cb}|$ & Differential Shapes of $B \rightarrow D^* \ell \nu$



arXiv: 2301.07529

accepted by PRD

- Full Belle data set of 711 fb^{-1} for $B^{\pm,0}, \ell = e, \mu$
- **Hadronic tagging** using Belle II tool (Full Event Interpretation [Comp. Soft. Big Sci 3 (2019) 6])
- Background subtracted via fitting M_{miss}^2 for bins of $w, \cos\theta_\ell, \cos\theta_\nu, \chi$ in **each decay mode independently**
- Combined **all kin. shapes** to extract $|V_{cb}|$ in **BGL/CLN** with external constraints on **branching fractions** (HFLAV) and **LQCD** (FNAL/MILC) [Eur. Phys. J. C 82, 1141 \(2022\)](https://arxiv.org/abs/2203.11141)




$|V_{cb}|$ & Differential Shapes of $B \rightarrow D^* \ell \nu$



- In $|V_{cb}|$ extraction, tested different BGL truncations, LQCD constraining scenario (at or beyond zero-recoil)
- Forward-backward asymmetry A_{FB}** and **D^* longitudinal polarization fraction $F_L^{D^*}$** and their differences between e, μ also derived. **No significant LFUV found.**

arXiv: 2301.07529

accepted by PRD




$$A_{\text{FB}} = \frac{\int_0^1 d \cos \ell d\Gamma/d \cos \ell - \int_{-1}^0 d \cos \ell d\Gamma/d \cos \ell}{\int_0^1 d \cos \ell d\Gamma/d \cos \ell + \int_{-1}^0 d \cos \ell d\Gamma/d \cos \ell}$$

	ΔA_{FB}
$\bar{B}^0 \rightarrow D^{*+} \ell \bar{\nu}_\ell$	$0.062 \pm 0.044 \pm 0.011$
$B^- \rightarrow D^{*0} \ell \bar{\nu}_\ell$	$-0.003 \pm 0.033 \pm 0.009$
$B \rightarrow D^* \ell \bar{\nu}_\ell$	$0.022 \pm 0.026 \pm 0.007$

$$\frac{1}{\Gamma} \frac{d\Gamma}{d \cos \theta_V} = \frac{3}{2} \left(F_L \cos^2 \theta_V + \frac{1 - F_L}{2} \sin^2 \theta_V \right)$$

	$\Delta F_L^{D^*}$
$\bar{B}^0 \rightarrow D^{*+} \ell \bar{\nu}_\ell$	$0.032 \pm 0.033 \pm 0.010$
$B^- \rightarrow D^{*0} \ell \bar{\nu}_\ell$	$0.025 \pm 0.035 \pm 0.010$
$B \rightarrow D^* \ell \bar{\nu}_\ell$	$0.034 \pm 0.024 \pm 0.007$





$|V_{ub}|$ in $B^0 \rightarrow \pi^- \ell^+ \nu$ Decay



arXiv: 2210.04224

- Data set of 189.3 fb⁻¹ with untagged analysis strategy
- Extract signal in beam-constrained mass M_{bc} and energy difference ΔE for each bin of q^2
- $|V_{ub}|$ fitted with Bourely-Caprini-Lellouch (**BCL**) [Phys.Rev.D79, 013008] expansion including **LQCD** constraints (FNAL/MILC [Phys. Rev. D92, 014024])




$$\Delta E = E_B^* - E_{\text{beam}}^* = E_B^* - \frac{\sqrt{s}}{2}$$

$$M_{bc} = \sqrt{E_{\text{beam}}^{*2} - |\vec{p}_B^*|^2} = \sqrt{\left(\frac{\sqrt{s}}{2}\right)^2 - |\vec{p}_B^*|^2}$$

$|V_{ub}|$ in $B^0 \rightarrow \pi^- \ell^+ \nu$ Decay

- Data set of 189.3 fb⁻¹ with untagged analysis strategy
- Extract signal in beam-constrained mass M_{bc} and energy difference ΔE for each bin of q^2
- $|V_{ub}|$ fitted with Bourely-Caprini-Lellouch (**BCL**) [Phys.Rev.D79, 013008] expansion including **LQCD** constraints (FNAL/MILC [Phys. Rev. D92, 014024])



$$\mathcal{B} = (1.426 \pm 0.056_{\text{stat}} \pm 0.125_{\text{syst}}) \times 10^{-4}$$

$$|V_{ub}| = (3.55 \pm 0.12_{\text{stat}} \pm 0.13_{\text{syst}} \pm 0.17_{\text{theo}}) \times 10^{-3}$$

dominated by background modelling (continuum, $B \rightarrow \rho \ell \nu$)

Recent Belle II Results on Exclusive $|V_{xb}|$




	$ V_{cb} \times 10^3$	References
$B^0 \rightarrow D^{*-} \ell^+ \nu$, untagged	40.9 ± 1.2 (BGL)	To be submitted to PRD
$B^0 \rightarrow D^{*-} \ell^+ \nu$, tagged	37.9 ± 2.7 (CLN)	arXiv:2301.04716
$B \rightarrow D \ell \nu$, untagged	38.28 ± 1.16 (BGL)	arXiv:2210.13143
	$ V_{ub} \times 10^3$	References
$B \rightarrow \pi \ell \nu$, tagged	3.88 ± 0.45	arXiv:2206.08102
$B \rightarrow \pi \ell \nu$, untagged	3.55 ± 0.25	arXiv:2210.04224

HFLAV 2023

$$|V_{cb}|_{\text{excl}} = (39.10 \pm 0.50) \times 10^{-3}$$

$$|V_{ub}|_{\text{excl}} = (3.51 \pm 0.12) \times 10^{-3}$$




Inclusive $B \rightarrow X_u \ell \nu$ and $|V_{ub}|$



PRD 104, 012008 (2021)

- Full Belle data set of 711 fb^{-1} with **Hadronic tagging**
- Use **machine learning (BDT)** to suppress backgrounds with 11 training features, e.g. $MM^2, \#K^\pm, \#K_S$, etc.






- Extract signal using binned likelihood in **3 phase space (PS) regions**:

- $E_\ell^B > 1 \text{ GeV}$ (covers 86% of available signal PS)
- $E_\ell^B > 1 \text{ GeV}, M_X < 1.7 \text{ GeV}$ (56%)
- $E_\ell^B > 1 \text{ GeV}, M_X < 1.7 \text{ GeV}, q^2 > 8 \text{ GeV}^2$ (31%)

→ Fit either E_ℓ^B, M_X, q^2 or 2D ($M_X: q^2$)

- Partial BF and inclusive $|V_{ub}|$ derived in each PS

$$\Delta \mathcal{B}(E_\ell^B > 1 \text{ GeV}) = (1.59 \pm 0.07 \pm 0.16) \times 10^{-3}$$



$$|V_{ub}| = \sqrt{\frac{\Delta \mathcal{B}(B \rightarrow X_u \ell \nu)}{\tau_B \cdot \Delta \Gamma(B \rightarrow X_u \ell \nu)}}$$

Arithmetic avr. $|V_{ub}|$ based on various theo. decay rate:

$$(4.10 \pm 0.09_{\text{stat}} \pm 0.22_{\text{sys}} \pm 0.15_{\text{theo}}) \times 10^{-3}$$







compatible with excl. and CKM expectation within 1.3σ and 1.6σ , respectively


First Measurement of Differential Spectra of $B \rightarrow X_u \ell \nu$



PRL 127, 261801 (2021)


- Inherit **same analysis strategy** in the partial BF measurement [PRD 104, 012008 (2021)]
- Additional selections on $|E_{\text{miss}} - P_{\text{miss}}| < 0.1 \text{ GeV}$ & $M_X < 2.4 \text{ GeV}$ to **improve resolution** and significance
- Background subtracted via M_X fit, further corrected for efficiency & acceptance effects (phase space: $E_\ell^B > 1 \text{ GeV}$)
- Necessary input for future **model-independent** determinations of $|\mathbf{V}_{ub}|$ (e.g. [NNVub](#), [SIMBA](#))





First Simultaneous Determination of Incl. & Excl. $|V_{ub}|$

- Inherit **same analysis strategy** in the partial BF measurement [PRD 104 , 012008 (2021)]
- Extract signal in $q^2 : N_{\pi^\pm}$ for $B \rightarrow \pi \ell \nu$ and $B \rightarrow X_u \ell \nu$ simultaneously
- Fitter corporates experimental observation of templates' **normalisations** and $B \rightarrow \pi \ell \nu$ **form factor (q^2 shape)**



Fit results provide all \mathcal{B} and $B \rightarrow \pi \ell \nu$ FF (decay rate)

=> derive exclusive and inclusive $|V_{ub}|$

$$\mathcal{B}(B \rightarrow X_u \ell \nu) = \mathcal{B}(B \rightarrow \pi^0 \ell \nu) + \mathcal{B}(B \rightarrow \pi^+ \ell \nu) + \mathcal{B}(B \rightarrow X_u^{\text{other}} \ell \nu)$$

$$\Delta \mathcal{B}(B \rightarrow X_u \ell \nu) = \mathcal{B}(B \rightarrow X_u \ell \nu) \cdot \epsilon_{\Delta \text{PS}; E_{\pi^0} > 1 \text{ GeV}}$$

$$|V_{ub}^{\text{incl.}}| = \sqrt{\frac{\Delta \mathcal{B}(B \rightarrow X_u \ell \nu)}{\tau_B \cdot \Delta \Gamma_{\text{GGOU}}}} \quad |V_{ub}^{\text{excl.}}| = \sqrt{\frac{\mathcal{B}(B \rightarrow \pi \ell \nu)}{\tau_B \cdot \Gamma_{\text{FF}}}}$$

Theoretical decay rate based on GGOU prediction [Gambino-Giordano-Ossola-Uraltsev, JHEP 10 (2007) 058]



First Simultaneous Determination of Incl. & Excl. $|V_{ub}|$



arXiv: 2303.17309

Preliminary

- Various fit scenarios applied:
 - **Combined** or separate $B \rightarrow \pi^+ \ell \nu$, $B \rightarrow \pi^0 \ell \nu$ (iso-spin relation)
 - Input BCL constraint: **LQCD + exp.** or **only LQCD** [FLAG: Eur. Phys. J. C 82, 869 (2022)]



$|V_{ub}|$ in combined scenario with LQCD+exp const.:

Preliminary

Excl. $(3.78 \pm 0.23_{\text{stat}} \pm 0.16_{\text{syst}} \pm 0.14_{\text{theo}}) \times 10^{-3}$


Incl. $(3.90 \pm 0.20_{\text{stat}} \pm 0.32_{\text{syst}} \pm 0.09_{\text{theo}}) \times 10^{-3}$

Ratio $0.97 \pm 0.12 \quad (\rho = 0.10)$

Weighted average of excl. & incl.

$(3.85 \pm 0.26) \times 10^{-3}$

CKM global fit (w/o $|V_{ub}|$): $(3.64 \pm 0.07) \times 10^{-3}$,
compatible within 0.8σ



Ratio of Inclusive $\Delta\mathcal{B}(B \rightarrow X_u \ell \nu)$ and $\Delta\mathcal{B}(B \rightarrow X_c \ell \nu)$

- Full Belle data set of 711 fb⁻¹ with **Hadronic tagging** using Belle II tool (Full Event Interpretation)
- **Modified $B \rightarrow X_c \ell \nu$ modeling** using sideband data
- $B \rightarrow X_u \ell \nu$ yields extracted in $q^2 : p_\ell^B$; $B \rightarrow X_c \ell \nu$ yields obtained by subtracting other contributions in total $B \rightarrow X \ell \nu$
- Measured partial phase space region of $p_\ell^B > 1$ GeV with fractions of $\epsilon_\Delta^u = 86\%$, $\epsilon_\Delta^c = 79\%$

Preliminary


$$\frac{\Delta\mathcal{B}(B \rightarrow X_u \ell \nu)}{\Delta\mathcal{B}(B \rightarrow X_c \ell \nu)} = 1.95(1 \pm 8.4\%_{\text{stat}} \pm 7.8\%_{\text{syst}}) \times 10^{-2}$$

Preliminary

Based on this, one could try the following two quick and naive conversions

$$|V_{ub}| = \sqrt{\frac{1}{\tau_B \Delta\Gamma(B \rightarrow X_u \ell \nu)} \frac{\Delta\mathcal{B}(B \rightarrow X_u \ell \nu)}{\Delta\mathcal{B}(B \rightarrow X_c \ell \nu)} \Delta\mathcal{B}(B \rightarrow X_c \ell \nu)}$$

WA: (8.55 ± 0.13)%



Consistent with recent Belle result PRD 104 , 012008 (2021)

Ratio of Inclusive $\Delta\mathcal{B}(B \rightarrow X_u\ell\nu)$ and $\Delta\mathcal{B}(B \rightarrow X_c\ell\nu)$

Preliminary

- Full Belle data set of 711 fb^{-1} with **Hadronic tagging** using Belle II tool (Full Event Interpretation)
- **Modified $B \rightarrow X_c\ell\nu$ modeling** using sideband data
- $B \rightarrow X_u\ell\nu$ yields extracted in $q^2 : p_\ell^B$; $B \rightarrow X_c\ell\nu$ yields obtained by subtracting other contributions in total $B \rightarrow X\ell\nu$
- Measured partial phase space region of $p_\ell^B > 1 \text{ GeV}$ with fractions of $\epsilon_\Delta^u = 86\%$, $\epsilon_\Delta^c = 79\%$

$$\frac{\Delta\mathcal{B}(B \rightarrow X_u\ell\nu)}{\Delta\mathcal{B}(B \rightarrow X_c\ell\nu)} = 1.95(1 \pm 8.4\%_{\text{stat}} \pm 7.8\%_{\text{syst}}) \times 10^{-2}$$

Preliminary


Based on this, one could try the following two quick and naive conversions

$$|V_{ub}| = \sqrt{\frac{1}{\tau_B \Delta\Gamma(B \rightarrow X_u\ell\nu)} \frac{\Delta\mathcal{B}(B \rightarrow X_u\ell\nu)}{\Delta\mathcal{B}(B \rightarrow X_c\ell\nu)} \Delta\mathcal{B}(B \rightarrow X_c\ell\nu)}$$

WA: $(8.55 \pm 0.13)\%$


$$\frac{|V_{ub}|}{|V_{cb}|} = \sqrt{\frac{\Delta\mathcal{B}(B \rightarrow X_u\ell\nu) \Delta\Gamma(B \rightarrow X_c\ell\nu)}{\Delta\mathcal{B}(B \rightarrow X_c\ell\nu) \Delta\Gamma(B \rightarrow X_u\ell\nu)}}$$

Theo. decay rates: $\Delta\Gamma^{\text{GGOU}}(B \rightarrow X_u\ell\nu) = 58.5 \pm 2.7 \text{ ps}^{-1}$
 $\Delta\Gamma^{\text{Kin}}(B \rightarrow X_c\ell\nu) = 29.9 \pm 1.2 \text{ ps}^{-1}$
[PRD 107, 052008 (2023)]




Summary

- Many new results are measured recently and will be very helpful to examine the long-standing $|V_{xb}|$ puzzle
- Continuous efforts from **experiment** and **theory** are still needed
 - Seen discrepancies in LQCD vs. Exp. for $B \rightarrow D^* \ell \nu$ need to be investigated
 - BGL & CLN resulted in consistent $|V_{cb}|$ (no dependence on parameterizations)
 - Higher precision expected at Belle II for simultaneous excl. & incl. $|V_{ub}|$ and inclusive $|V_{ub}|/|V_{cb}|$ ratio
- Beyond these important results, the accumulated knowledge on MC modeling, analysis techniques, etc. will be beneficial for future measurements by e.g. **Belle II** or **LHCb**




Summary

- Many new results are measured recently and will be very helpful to examine the long-standing $|V_{xb}|$ puzzle
- Continuous efforts from **experiment** and **theory** are still needed
 - Seen discrepancies in LQCD vs. Exp. for $B \rightarrow D^* \ell \nu$ need to be investigated
 - BGL & CLN resulted in consistent $|V_{cb}|$ (no dependence on parameterizations)
 - Higher precision expected at Belle II for simultaneous excl. & incl. $|V_{ub}|$ and inclusive $|V_{ub}|/|V_{cb}|$ ratio
- Beyond these important results, the accumulated knowledge on MC modeling, analysis techniques, etc. will be beneficial for future measurements by e.g. **Belle II** or **LHCb**




Summary


- Many new results are measured recently and will be very helpful to examine the long-standing $|V_{xb}|$ puzzle
- Continuous efforts from **experiment** and **theory** are still needed
 - Seen discrepancies in LQCD vs. Exp. for $B \rightarrow D^* \ell \nu$ need to be investigated
 - BGL & CLN resulted in consistent $|V_{cb}|$ (no dependence on parameterizations)
 - Higher precision expected at Belle II for simultaneous excl. & incl. $|V_{ub}|$ and inclusive $|V_{ub}|/|V_{cb}|$ ratio
- Beyond these important results, the accumulated knowledge on MC modeling, analysis techniques, etc. will be beneficial for future measurements by e.g. **Belle II** or **LHCb**



THANK YOU
THANK YOU



Backup: Tagging vs. Untagging



- **Untagged**

- Loose constraints on signal
- Very large statistics, but also very large background
- Efficiency $\epsilon \approx \mathcal{O}(100\%)$

- **Semileptonic tag**

- Mid-range reconstruction efficiency
- Due to multiple neutrinos, less information about B_{tag}

- **Hadronic tag**

- Cleaner sample
- Knowledge of $p(B_{\text{sig}})$
- Low tag-side efficiency $\epsilon \approx \mathcal{O}(0.1\%)$

Backup: First Simultaneous Determination of Incl. & Excl. $|V_{ub}|$


arXiv: 2303.17309

Preliminary


- Fitter incorporates experimental observation of **templates' normalisations** and $B \rightarrow \pi \ell \nu$ **form factor**
- Systematic uncertainties included via Nuisance parameters for both of additives and multiplicative impacts
- Dominant syst. are non-resonant $B \rightarrow X_u \ell \nu$ modelling, fragmentation and reconstruction efficiency (stat. limits $B \rightarrow \pi \ell \nu$)

$$-2 \log \mathcal{L} = -2 \log \prod_i \text{Poisson} \left(n_{\text{obs}}, n_{\text{pred}} \cdot (1 + \epsilon \cdot \theta) \right) + \theta \rho_{\theta}^{-1} \theta^T + \chi_{\text{FF}}^2$$


Constraints on BCL parameters, input taken from LQCD / LQCD+exp fits in [FLAG Review 2021](#)



Normalizations
can be linked with isospin relation, or floating separately (nominal: linked)




Shape
described by BCL para.




Backup: First Simultaneous Determination of Incl. & Excl. $|V_{ub}|$

arXiv: 2303.17309

Preliminary



Results with various input of inclusive decay rates



Backup: Branching Fraction of $B^0 \rightarrow D^* \ell \nu$ and $|V_{cb}|$

Preliminary

- Nested hypothesis test included with LQCD beyond-recoil constraints

BGL₁₃₂




Preliminary

	Values	Correlations						
$ V_{cb} \times 10^3$	40.2 ± 1.2	1	-0.32	-0.58	-0.11	0.03	-0.24	
$a_0 \times 10^3$	22.6 ± 1.2	-0.32	1	0.31	0.1	-0.18	0.31	
$b_0 \times 10^3$	13.2 ± 0.2	-0.58	0.31	1	-0.17	0.14	-0.12	
$b_1 \times 10^3$	7.1 ± 14.1	-0.11	0.1	-0.17	1	-0.89	0.57	
b_2	-0.4 ± 0.4	0.03	-0.18	0.14	-0.89	1	-0.41	
$c_1 \times 10^3$	-0.7 ± 0.8	-0.24	0.31	-0.12	0.57	-0.41	1	

BGL₃₁₃

Preliminary

	Values	Correlations							
$ V_{cb} \times 10^3$	39.8 ± 1.1	1	-0.16	0.02	-0.1	-0.61	-0.16	0.11	
$a_0 \times 10^3$	28.3 ± 1.0	-0.16	1	-0.09	-0.2	0.17	0.11	-0.03	
$a_1 \times 10^3$	-45.9 ± 65.7	0.02	-0.09	1	-0.85	-0.04	-0.09	0.14	
a_2	-4.8 ± 2.4	-0.1	-0.2	-0.85	1	0.12	0.13	-0.17	
$b_0 \times 10^3$	13.3 ± 0.2	-0.61	0.17	-0.04	0.12	1	0.11	-0.13	
$c_1 \times 10^3$	-3.2 ± 1.4	-0.16	0.11	-0.09	0.13	0.11	1	-0.91	
$c_2 \times 10^3$	59.1 ± 29.9	0.11	-0.03	0.14	-0.17	-0.13	-0.91	1	



Backup: $|V_{cb}|$ & Differential Shapes of $B \rightarrow D^* \ell \nu$

- Signal **shapes** corrected for resolution, reco. efficiency and acceptance effects
- Combined **all kinematic shapes** to extract $|V_{cb}|$ in **BGL/CLN** with external constraints on **branching fractions** (HFLAV) and **LQCD results** (FNAL/MILC)

$$\chi^2 = \left(\frac{\Delta \vec{\Gamma}^m}{\Gamma^m} - \frac{\Delta \vec{\Gamma}^p(\vec{x})}{\Gamma^p(\vec{x})} \right) C_{\text{exp}}^{-1} \left(\frac{\Delta \vec{\Gamma}^m}{\Gamma^m} - \frac{\Delta \vec{\Gamma}^p(\vec{x})}{\Gamma^p(\vec{x})} \right)^T$$


$$+ (\Gamma^{\text{ext}} - \Gamma^p(\vec{x}))^2 / \sigma(\Gamma^{\text{ext}})^2$$

$$+ (h_X - h_X^{\text{LQCD}}) C_{\text{LQCD}}^{-1} (h_X - h_X^{\text{LQCD}})$$


arXiv: 2301.07529

accepted by PRD

Corrected Shapes



Fitted Shapes



Backup: $|V_{cb}|$ & Differential Shapes of $B \rightarrow D^* \ell \nu$



arXiv: 2301.07529

accepted by PRD

- Nested hypothesis test **w/o** & **w/** LQCD beyond-recoil constraints

Fitted Shapes

