

Summary and Vision for Flavour Physics

Phillip Urquijo The University of Melbourne

Beauty 2020 Online Kavli IPMU, The University of Tokyo

東京大学 国際高等研究所 カブリ数物連携宇宙研究機構 KAVLI INSTITUTE FOR THE PHYSICS AND MATHEMATICS OF THE UNIVERSE





Experiments @ Beauty 2020















Beauty 2020















- Are there new CP-violating phases in the quark sector? (Why is the Universe missing all its antimatter?).
 - Quark mixing in B decays, searches for new sources of CP violation, CKM precision metrology.
 - Need to disentangle strong phases.
- **Does nature have multiple Higgs bosons? (Why is there a mass hierarchy in** fermions)
 - Semileptonic and Leptonic B decays, lepton flavour universality violation.
 - Good "detection universality" (e.g. leptons) to tackle anomalies.
- **Does nature have a L-R symmetry?**
 - Radiative and Semileptonic rare B decays.
- Is there a dark sector of particle physics at the same mass scale as ordinary matter?
 - Dark photons, axion like particles, and dark matter, via flavour transitions.
- **Strong interaction dynamics**
 - (Exotic) Hadron spectroscopy, flavour production processes.

Presentation Outline

- 1. CP Violation
- 2. CKM elements
- 3. Rare decays
- 4. Dark Sector
- 5. Spectroscopy and exotic states
- 6. Outlook



CKM and CPV SM Metrology: B core program



$B \rightarrow \pi \pi$, ρρ	Φ2	$B \rightarrow D / v / b \rightarrow c / v$	V _{cb} via Fo
$B \rightarrow D^{(*)} K^{(*)}$	Φ3	$B \rightarrow \pi / \nu / b \rightarrow u / \nu$	V_{ub} via F
$B \rightarrow J/\psi K_s$	Φ ₁	$M \rightarrow I \vee (\gamma)$	 Vub via C
$B_s \rightarrow J/\psi \Phi$	βs	ε _K	(ρ, η) via
$K \rightarrow \pi \nu anti-\nu$	ρ, η	Δm_d , Δm_s	Vtb Vt{d,s}
		$B_{(s)} \rightarrow \mu + \mu$ -	Vt{d,s} via

MELBOUR

orm factor / OPE

orm factor / OPE

Decay constant f_M

Bĸ

via Bag factor B_B

Decay constant f_B

Phillip URQUIJO

Observables with very different properties

Tree: e.g., |Vub|/|Vcb|, Φ_3 **Loop:** e.g., Δm_d , Δm_s , ϵ_K , $\sin(2\Phi_1)$ **CP-conserving**: e.g., |Vub|, Δmd , Δms **CP-violating**: e.g., γ , ϵK , sin($2\Phi_1$)

Exp. uncs.: e.g., α , sin(2 Φ_1), Φ_3 **Syst. uncs.**: e.g., |Vub|, |Vcb|, ϵK , Δmd , Δms







Flavour data sets from colliders

Experiment	∫ <i>L</i> dt: Now	∫ <i>L</i> dt: 5 years	σ(bb)	σ(cc)	σ(ss)	Operati
Babar	530 fb ⁻¹	_	1.1 nb	1.6 nb	0.4 nb	1999-20
Belle	1040 fb ⁻¹	_	1.1 nb	1.6 nb	0.4 nb	1999-20
Belle II	>10 fb ⁻¹ (50 ab ⁻¹)	15-20 ab-1	1.1 nb	1.6 nb	0.4 nb	2018 -
BESIII	~16 fb ⁻¹	~30 fb ⁻¹	_	6 nb (3770 MeV)	_	2008-
KLOE-2	5.5 fb ⁻¹	_	_	_	~3 µb (1020 MeV)	2014-20
ATLAS	140 fb ⁻¹	~300 fb ⁻¹	250-500 µb	_	_	2009-
CMS	140 fb ⁻¹	~300 fb ⁻¹	250-500 µb	_	_	2009-
LHCb	~10 fb ⁻¹	23 fb ⁻¹	250-500 µb	1200- 2400 μb	$(\sim 10^{13} \text{K}_{\text{S}} / \text{fb}^{-1})$	2009-

Order of magnitude increase in e+e- Y(4S) dataset.

- Concurrent advances in lattice QCD will also be crucial for improved precision tests of the SM. Also new results from NA62 and KOTO at this conference.
- Beauty 2020 Phillip URQUIJO

tion 2008 2010









SuperKEKB

$-\gamma_+$	$oldsymbol{\sigma}_{oldsymbol{v}}^{st}ig angle oldsymbol{I}$	$+\zeta_{+\nu}R_{L}$			
$L = \frac{1}{2er_e} \left(1 - \frac{1}{2er_e} \right)$	$\left(\frac{\sigma}{\sigma_x^*}\right)^{-1}$	$\frac{\underline{\beta}_{y}^{*}}{\beta_{y}^{*}} \frac{\underline{\beta}_{y}}{R_{y}}$	KEKB	SuperKEKB	Achieven
		β* _y (mm)	5.9/5.9	0.3/0.27	1/1
		I _{beam} (A)	1.19/1.65	2.6/3.6	0.7/0.9
T		L(cm ⁻² s ⁻¹)	2.11x10 ³⁴	80x10 ³⁴	2.4x10
L)	Peak L Int. L/d	2.402 [10 ³⁴ /cm ² /s] @ ay 849.87 / 1411.58 [/	⊚2020-06-21 00:53 HI pb] LI	ER I _{peak} : 620.4 [mA] β; ER I _{peak} : 721.7 [mA] β;	06/20/2020 23:58 dy [*] : 60./ 1.00 [mm] dy [*] : 80./ 1.00 [mm]
SuperKEKB, 21/6/2020	0.0 5.0 4.0 3.0 2.0 1.0 8eam Current [A] 86am Current 0.1 8.0 8.0 8.0 9.0 1.0 8.0 9.0 1.0 1.0 8.0 9.0 1.0 9.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1	HER			
	2.5 2.5 1.5 2.5 1.5 1.5 2.5 1.5 1.5 2.5 1.5 2.5 2.5 2.5 2.5 2.5 2.5 2.5 2.5 2.5 2				18 ^h 21 ^h
	6/21	/2020			

Beauty 2020

Phillip URQUIJO



7

tector



CP Violation

Unitarity triangle angles B Amplitude analyses Charm







Phillip URQUIJO

9



$\Phi_3(\gamma)$ in BP - GGSZ

B
ightarrow DK, $D
ightarrow K_{
m S}^0 \pi^+ \pi^-$



- **Dalitz** plot analysis of $D \rightarrow K_S^{0}hh$ from $B \rightarrow Dh$ (h = K, π).
- The most precise single measurement. Large local CPV observed.
- LHCb combination of Φ_3 measurements will be updated soon, expect $\sigma(\Phi_3) \sim 4\circ$.

Talk by A. Poluektev



JHEP 06, 40 (2020) LHCb-CONF-2020-001



$$\begin{split} \gamma &= (69 \pm 5)^{\circ}, \\ r_B^{DK} &= 0.089^{+0.008}_{-0.007} \\ \delta_B^{DK} &= (118 \pm 6)^{\circ} \\ r_B^{D\pi} &= 0.0048^{+0.06}_{-0.06} \\ \delta_B^{D\pi} &= (287^{+26}_{-27})^{\circ}. \end{split}$$





Charm inputs to Φ_3

$$N_i^{\pm} = h_{\pm} \left[F_i + (x_{\pm}^2 + y_{\pm}^2) F_{-i} + 2\sqrt{F} \right]$$

Physics parameters: $x \pm r_B \cos(\delta_B \pm \gamma)$, $y \pm r_B \sin(\delta_B \pm \gamma)$, Strong phase parameters: c_i, s_i Flavour-specific bin yield fractions: F_i , shared between B \rightarrow DK and B \rightarrow D π

$$\begin{array}{ll} x^{DK}_{-} = (& 5.6 \pm 1.0 \pm 0.2 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{-2}, \\ y^{DK}_{-} = (& 6.5 \pm 1.1 \pm 0.3 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-2}, \\ x^{DK}_{+} = (-9.2 \pm 1.0 \pm 0.2 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{-2}, \\ y^{DK}_{+} = (-1.2 \pm 1.2 \pm 0.3 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{-2}, \\ x^{D\pi}_{\xi} = (-5.3 \pm 2.0 \pm 0.3 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{-2}, \\ y^{D\pi}_{\xi} = (& 1.0 \pm 2.3 \pm 0.5 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{-2}, \\ exp. \text{ syst } CLEO, \text{ BES-III} \end{array}$$

 Φ_3 systematic of 1°.

Beauty 2020

Talk by J. Libby



PRL 124, 241802 (2020) PRD 101, 112002 (2020)





New generation of c_i and s_i measurements from quantum correlated D decays that result in

Phillip URQUIJO

11







Beauty 2020



Measurement of CKM angle Φ_3 from time-dependent amplitude analysis









X

New studies by ATLAS and CMS with 13 TeV data.

 $b \rightarrow \mu^- X$

- Angular analyses to disentangle 2 CP eigenstates.
- Small systematics on flavour tagging.

Beauty 2020

Talks by G. Fedi, S. Simsek

CMS arXiv:2007.02434 **ATLAS Preliminary**







Phillip URQUIJO

13





Talks by G. Fedi, S. Simsek

CMS arXiv:2007.02434







- New $B \rightarrow K_S K_S K_S$ result (b \rightarrow sqq good for NP), $S = -0.71 \pm 0.23$ (stat) ± 0.05 (sys).
- Recent Belle result on $B \rightarrow J/\psi \pi^0$ key input to strong penguin pollution in $B \rightarrow J/\psi K_S$.

Belle II Time Dependent Studies





Beauty 2020

Phillip URQUIJO

arXiv:2008.03873

Talk by T. Humair



- Good vertex resolution ability to measure B lifetime.
- Flavour tagging Belle: $\epsilon_{eff} = (30.1 \pm 0.4)\%$ Belle II: $\epsilon_{eff} = (33.8 \pm 3.9)\%$
- $sin(2\phi_1)$ $=0.55\pm0.21(stat)\pm0.04(sys)$
- Δm_d $=(0.531\pm0.046(stat)\pm0.013)$ (syst)) ps⁻¹









Beauty 2020





- C_{KK} =
- S_{KK} $0.123 \pm 0.034 \pm 0.015,$ =
- ${\cal A}^{\Delta\Gamma}_{KK}$ $-0.833 \pm 0.054 \pm 0.094,$ =**LHCb-PAPER-2020-029**
- $0.166 \pm 0.042,$
- -0.973 ± 0.071

-dependent and time-integrated CP ▶ hh'.

: First observation of time-dependent CP \Rightarrow cays with 6.7 σ significance.

0.3 $(t - t_0) \mod(2\pi/\Delta m_s)$ [ps]

 $C_{\pi\pi}$

 $A_{CP}^{B^0}$

 $A_{CP}^{B_s^0}$

=

=





Beauty 2020

Phillip URQUIJO



arXiv:2009.09452 arXiv:2008.03873

- First Belle II measurement of BFs, CP asymmetries, and Polarisation in
 - $B \rightarrow K\pi$
 - $B \rightarrow Khh$
 - B→ΦK*
- Critical for neutral mode inputs - to understand strong interaction effects.









 $\mathcal{B}(B^+ \to \pi^+ K^+ K^-) / \mathcal{B}(B^+ \to K^+ K^+ K^-) = 0.151 \pm 0.004 \,(\text{stat}) \pm 0.008 \,(\text{syst})$ $\mathcal{B}(B^+ \to K^+ \pi^+ \pi^-) / \mathcal{B}(B^+ \to K^+ K^+ K^-) = 1.703 \pm 0.011 \,(\text{stat}) \pm 0.022 \,(\text{syst})$ $\mathcal{B}(B^+ \to \pi^+ \pi^-) / \mathcal{B}(B^+ \to K^+ K^+ K^-) = 0.488 \pm 0.005 \,(\text{stat}) \pm 0.009 \,(\text{syst})$

Beauty 2020

Talk by T. Latham

LHCb-PAPER-2020-031 PRL 124 (2020) 031801 PRD 101 (2020) 012006 PRD 102 (2020) 012011



surement of the relative iching fractions of $B+ \rightarrow h+h'+h'$ - $\frac{35}{B(B^+ \rightarrow K^+K^-)} (\times 10^{-6})}$ ays - big improvement over WA. <u>ant amplitude analyses in $B+ \rightarrow$ </u> Fit yield -h large CP asymmetries $\overline{310 \pm 280}$ several amplitudes. 760 ± 140 950 ± 430 480 ± 200



- 2020 Measurement based on charm from B decays at sqrt(s)=13 TeV.
- No indication of mixing-induced CP violation in charm.

 $\sigma_t \sim 127 \text{ fs}$

Beauty 2020







Charm at Belle II



- mixing parameter measurements.
- Q-resolution 2x better, good for time dependent amplitude analysis in $D^0 \rightarrow K_S \pi \pi$.

Proper time resolution at Belle II is a factor 2 better than Belle & BaBar. Implications for





THE UNIVERSITY OF **MELBOURNE**

KEK



CKM Matrix Elements & Tree Decays

Semileptonic decays Leptonic decays Lepton flavour universality

Current Challenges THE UNIVERSITY O MELBOURNE





2







• First exclusive |V_{cb}| measurement at hadron collider and using Bs mesons $|V_{cb}| = (42.3 \pm 0.8(stat) \pm 0.9(syst) \pm 1.2(ext)) \times 10^{-3}$



 $\sim 9 E^{\times 10^3}$

Talk by S. Braun

PRD 101, 072004 (2020) arXiv: 2003.08453





25

Analysis of Belle untagged data with preliminary LQCD data

D. Ferlewicz, PU, E. Waheed

Inclusive V_{ub}

- Preliminary Belle analysis of $B \rightarrow X_u | v (ICHEP 2020)$
 - B-full reconstruction tag
 - Fit to M_X - q^2
 - BDT background suppression
- $|V_{ub}|$ (avg) = $(4.06\pm0.09_{stat}\pm0.16_{sys}\pm0.15_{theo})$ 10⁻³
- Reduced tension with exclusive measurement: 1.4 σ .

Talk by G. Ricciardi (Theory)

ICHEP Belle Preliminary

Projections of 2D fit resut:

Phillip URQUIJO

27

On track for competitive measurements soon - work on lepton ID at low momentum.

Beauty 2020

Phillip URQUIJO

Talk by H. Atmacan

arXiv: 2008.10299 arXiv: 2008.07198

Remark: Not an anomaly at Belle

LFU in tt $\rightarrow W \rightarrow lv$ MELBOURNE

THE UNIVERSITY O

Rare & FCNC Decays

Semileptonic decays Leptonic decays LFV and LFUV

• Combination ATLAS, CMS, LHCb for Run 1 + Run 2 ((2015 +) 2016). 2.1 σ from the SM.

 $\mathcal{B}(B_s^0 \to \mu\mu) = (2.69^{+0.37}_{-0.35}) \times 10^{-9}$ $\mathcal{B}(B^0 \to \mu\mu) < 1.9 \times 10^{-10}$ at 95% CL

Beauty 2020

- effects are therefore patentially large.9
- Big improvement over previous CDF limit.

fractions are $\mathcal{B}(B_s^0 \to e^+e^-) = (2.4 \pm 4.4) \times 10^{-9}$ and $\mathcal{B}(B^0 \to e^+e^-) = (0.30 \pm 1.29) \times 10^{-9}$, where the uncertainties include both statistical and systematic components. The results are in agreement with the background-only **LHIN conclusion** 15 performed 1 hypothesis. PRL 124 (2020) 211802 LHCb LHCb + Observed 2009- Expected + Observed CDF limit $\pm 1\sigma$ — Expected 0.6 $\pm 2\sigma$ ± 1σ $\pm 2\sigma$ 0.4 Unive 0.2 New.P 90.0% 95.0% Scen 90.0% 95.0% -10 20 15 1×10^{-9} 10⁻¹⁶ $B^{0}_{s} \xrightarrow{e^{+}e^{-}} \underset{\tau}{\text{branching fraction}} \underset{\mathcal{B}(B_{s} \to \mu^{+}\mu^{-})}{\text{fraction}} \xrightarrow{\mu^{+}\mu^{-}}$ $\overline{\mathcal{B}}(B_s \to e^+ e^-)$ $B^0 \rightarrow e^+e^-$ branching fraction values as a function of the branching fractions of the decays (left) $B_s^0 \rightarrow$ (black solid line with data points) Boresponds to the distribution of the expected (observation) band contains the 1σ (2σ) uncertainties on the expected upper limits. Thresholds corre indicated with dashed lines. The observed values are plotted for branching fractions gre Helid (Byuppressed by910 (111eb) w µµ channel data, we test statistic is defined to be nonzero only in that region. $\mathcal{B}(B^0_s \to e^+, at 90\% (95\%) CL$ 211802-4 $\mathcal{B}(B^0 \to e^+ e^-)_{\rm CDF} < 8.3 \times 10^{-8}$ at 90% CL

Talk by S. Swain

CMS Preliminary

- tension with SM.
- preferred.

Beauty 2020

Phillip URQUIJO

• Fit $\Delta Re(C_9)$ using flavio package and other parameters assuming their SM value: 3.3σ 2.5σ Recent preliminary K*ee analysis (shown at ICHEP) - $4\sigma fit$ (m, ρo), cos θ_V , ϕ ~). C₇ is SM $\Delta Re(C_9)$ 3.3σ

- Most precise $B \rightarrow J/\psi$ K BRs.
- R_K consistent with SM. A_I shows offset.
- dB/dq² consistent with SM but a bit low like LHCb.
- Best upper limit for $B \rightarrow K^{0}\mu e$.

Beauty 2020

Mode	ε (%)	$N_{ m sig}$	$N_{ m sig}^{ m UL}$	$\mathcal{B}^{(\mathrm{UL})}$ (
$B^+ ightarrow K^+ \mu^+ e^-$	29.4	$11.6^{+6.1}_{-5.5}$	19.9	8.5
$B^+ o K^+ \mu^- e^+$	31.2	$1.7^{+3.6}_{-2.2}$	7.5	3.(
$B^0 o K^0 \mu^\pm e^\mp$	20.9	$-3.3^{+4.0}_{-2.8}$	3.0	3.8


```
DRare and Forbidden
```


Talk by A. Lusiani

PRL 124 (2020) 7, 07182 PRD 101 (2020) 11, 112003

- $D^{0} \rightarrow h'^{-}h^{+}l^{\pm}'l^{\mp}$, and $D^{0} \rightarrow h'^{-}h^{-}l^{+}'l^{+}$, • 12 new upper limits in the range (1 - 30) x 10⁻⁷
- $D^{\circ} \rightarrow X^{\circ} e^{\pm} \mu^{\mp}$
 - 7 new upper limits in the range (5 - 30) x 10⁻⁷
 - Order 100× more stringent upper limits than previous results.

∦⊟–⊣ ⊮⊐–⊸¥	$D^+ \rightarrow D^+$	π^-e^+ BH	 E]⊁-	$)^{-9}] D_s^+$	$\rightarrow \pi^- e^+ e^-$
cay ^{⊡⊸}	$D^+ \rightarrow$	$\pi^+ e^+ e^$	la Dralinain ar		D_s^+ - D_s^+ -	$\rightarrow I \pi h q p q q$ $\rightarrow K^- e^+ e^-$
v	$\stackrel{\text{\tiny left}}{\longrightarrow} D^{\text{\tiny left}} \to I$		D Freimmar	y ₩ <u></u>	$\% \operatorname{CL}^+$	$\rightarrow K_D^+ e^+ e^-$
		$\frac{1}{10^{-8}}$	$\frac{1}{10^{-7}}$	$\frac{1}{10^{-6}}$ 1	n = 5	
$f_{s)} \rightarrow \pi^+$	$\mu^+\mu^*$	67	$2016 \operatorname{limit}^{10} 4 9$	0% confidehee	210	1.1
$\pi^{-} \rightarrow \pi^{-}$	$\mu^+\mu^+$	14	16	86	96	1.6
$K^{-} \rightarrow K^{-}$	$^{+}\mu^{+}\mu^{-}$	- expected me	edian X -	observed li	mit - prev	ious wo
$c_{2020}K$ t	Rare ⁺ chatm	decays at LHCb	-	26	30	-
$f_{s} \rightarrow \pi^+$	$e^+\mu^-$	210	230	1100	1200	14.0
$f_{s} \rightarrow \pi^+$	$\mu^+ e^-$	220	220	940	1100	16.0
$f_{s} \rightarrow \pi^{-}$	$\mu^+ e^+$	130	150	630	710	16.0
$K^{-} \rightarrow K^{-}$	$^+e^+\mu^-$	75	83	790	880	16.0
$K^{-} \rightarrow K^{-}$	$^{+}\mu^{+}e^{-}$	100	110	560	640	28.0
$K^{2} \rightarrow K^{2}$	$^{-}\mu^{+}e^{+}$	-	-	260	320	-
$f_{s)} \rightarrow \pi^{+}$	e^+e^-	1600	1800	5500	6400	0.7
$f_{s)} \rightarrow \pi^{-}$	e^+e^+	530	600	1400	1600	2.1
$\widetilde{K} \to K^{-1}$	$^{+}e^{+}e^{-}$	850	1000	4900	5500	1.2
$\widetilde{K} \to K^{-1}$	e^+e^+	-	-	770	840	-
/						

$$Br(K^+
ightarrow \pi^+
u ar{
u}) = (11.0^{+4.0}_{-3.5stat} \pm 0.3)$$

Beauty 2020

Phillip URQUIJO

40

- New results from analyses on rare and forbidden kaon decays.
- Tight constraints on heavy neutral leptons in 50 450 MeV/c² range.

Beauty 2020

MELBOURNE

@ 中估重型机械公司制造

Dark Sector

ALPs Dark Photons Long lived particles

- Z' and axion-like particle searches using only < 0.5fb⁻¹.
- GeV.

Single photon search is in progress. L1 trigger efficiency measured to be ~100% above 1

i, BEAUTY2020 - Search for low-mass NP states at BaBar

on ALP — W-boson coupling by 2 orders of magnitude below 5 GeV/ c^2 .

First search for a new dark leptophilic scalar produced in τ -pair events

$$\mathcal{L} = -\xi \sum_{\ell=e,\mu,\tau} \frac{m_{\ell}}{v} \bar{\ell} \phi_L \ell,$$

where ξ denotes the flavor-independent coupling strength

Higgs vac-⁺ only weakly ABAR search ump experi- ℓ^{-} meter space,

including the region lavored by the measurement of the muon anomalous magnetic moment, is still unex-

The mass-proportionality of the coupling, in particular the feeble interaction with electrons, dictates the experimental search strategy. At e^+e^- colliders, the ϕ_L is mainly produced via final-state radiation from τ lep-

 $\Upsilon(2S,3S)$ decto mu tion $e^+e^- \rightarrow$ is simulated technique 23 hreshold, based on GEAd decays to e

We select ev with zero net gle tracks and $\phi_L \rightarrow \ell^+ \ell^$ opposite-sign particle identi not attempt t but simply co Bhabha and d

First ALPs search in flavour changing B decays ($B^{\pm} \rightarrow K^{\pm}a, a \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$) - improves on existing limits

Spectroscopy & Exotica

Baryons Quarkonia Spectroscopy 4-Quark States

X(2900) Amplitude analysis of B+ -> D+D-K+ Talk by L. Capriotti arXiv:2009.00025

- Reasonable agreement with data when including 2 D⁻K⁺ Breit-Wigners $m_{X0}(2900) = 2886 \pm 7 \pm 2$ MeV, $\Gamma_{XO}(2900) = 57 \pm 12 \pm 4 \text{ MeV}$ $m_{X1}(2900) = 2904 \pm 5 \pm 1 \text{ MeV},$ $\Gamma_{X1}(2900) = 110 \pm 11 \pm 4 \text{ MeV}$ However, other models (i.e. rescattering) may also explain the discrepancy
- If interpreted as resonances: first clear observation of exotic hadrons with open flavour, and without a heavy quark-antiquark pair.

Beauty 2020

arXiv:2005.13419 **JHEP 08 (2020) 123**

 $m_{\chi_{c1}(3872)}^{BW} = 3871.64 \pm 0.06 \text{ MeV}$ $\Gamma^{BW}_{\chi_{c1}(3872)} = 1.19 \pm 0.19 \text{ MeV}$

Observation of X(6900) $\rightarrow J/\psi J/\psi$

- Predictions for the masses of a 4-charm state: 5.8 7.4 GeV
- Further studies are required to investigate the nature of X(6900). If confirmed: first observation of an exotic hadron made of 4 heavy quarks of same flavour

Talk by L. Capriotti arXiv:2006.16957

SPS-BW interference

with full Run-2 data.

Beauty 2020

50

b hadron Spectroscopy

- $\Gamma(\Lambda_b \rightarrow J/\Psi \Sigma^0)/\Gamma(\Lambda_b \rightarrow J/\Psi \Lambda)$ and first measurement of $\Gamma(\Xi_b \rightarrow J/\Psi \Sigma^0)/\Gamma(\Xi_b \rightarrow J/\Psi \Lambda)$.
- New insights into spectroscopy.

MELBOURNE

PRL 124, 111802 (2020)

Various new results: first observation of Ω_{b} states, new Xi_b(6227)^o state, Upper limit on

Y(3S) LFU τ/μ

• Using a 26.9 fb⁻¹ data sample collected at the Υ (3S) and 78.3 fb⁻¹ data sample at the Υ (4S) to describe the continuum. Measurement O(10x) improvement on previous result.

Beauty 2020

Phillip URQUIJO

 $R_{\tau\mu} = \frac{\mathcal{B}(\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \tau^+ \tau^-)}{\mathcal{B}(\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-)} = 0.9662 \pm 0.0084_{stat} \pm 0.014_{syst}$

SM = 0.9948 $\Delta < 2 \sigma$

 $= 0.9662 \pm 0.016$ tot

Talk by M. Roney

Outlook

LHC & SuperKEKB Physics Cases

-100

50

-150 -100 -50

-50

-100

-150

Four steps: Intermediate luminosity (1-2 x 10³⁵ /cm²/sec, 5ab⁻¹); <u>High Luminosity (6.5 x 10³⁵/cm²/sec, 50 ab⁻¹) with a detector upgrade</u> Polarization Upgrade, Advanced R&D Ultra high luminosity (4 x 10³⁶/cm²/sec, 250 ab⁻¹), R&D Project

MELBOURNI

Expected (Integrated) Luminosity (@ B machines

Physics Cases

Online ISSN 2050-3911

Progress of Theoretical and Experimental Physics

The Belle II Physics Book

TDO

The Physical Society of Japan

OXFORD UNIVERSITY PRESS

2020

Apr

9

[hep-ex]

arXiv:1912.05983v3

Future Physics Programme of BESIII

IHEP-Physics-Report-BESIII-2020-4-7

Published in Chinese Physics C 44, 040001 (2020)

2019

pr

 \triangleleft

5

éx]

[hep-

Xiv:1808.08865v4

ar

EUROPEAN ORGANIZATION FOR NUCLEAR RESEARCH (CERN)

CERN-LHCC-2018-027 LHCB-PUB-2018-009 27 August 2018

Physics case for an LHCb Upgrade II

Opportunities in flavour physics, and beyond, in the HL-LHC era

The LHCb collaboration

Abstract

The LHCb Upgrade II will fully exploit the flavour-physics opportunities of the HL-LHC, and study additional physics topics that take advantage of the forward acceptance of the LHCb spectrometer. The LHCb Upgrade I will begin operation in 2020. Consolidation will occur, and modest enhancements of the Upgrade I detector will be installed, in Long Shutdown 3 of the LHC (2025) and these are discussed here. The main Upgrade II detector will be installed in long shutdown 4 of the LHC (2030) and will build on the strengths of the current LHCb experiment and the Upgrade I. It will operate at a luminosity up to $2 \times 10^{34} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$, ten times that of the Upgrade I detector. New detector components will improve the intrinsic performance of the experiment in certain key areas. An Expression Of Interest proposing Upgrade II was submitted in February 2017. The physics case for the Upgrade II is presented here in more depth. CP-violating phases will be measured with precisions unattainable at any other envisaged facility. The experiment will probe $b \to s\ell^+\ell^-$ and $b \to d\ell^+\ell^-$ transitions in both muon and electron decays in modes not accessible at Upgrade I. Minimal flavour violation will be tested with a precision measurement of the ratio of $\mathcal{B}(B^0 \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-)/\mathcal{B}(B^0_s \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-)$. Probing charm CP violation at the 10⁻⁵ level may result in its long sought discovery. Major advances in hadron spectroscopy will be possible, which will be powerful probes of low energy QCD. Upgrade II potentially will have the highest sensitivity of all the LHC experiments on the Higgs to charm-quark couplings. Generically, the new physics mass scale probed, for fixed couplings, will almost double compared with the pre-HL-LHC era; this extended reach for flavour physics is similar to that which would be achieved by the HE-LHC proposal for the energy frontier.

© 2019 CERN for the benefit of the LHCb collaboration. CC-BY-4.0 licence.

L'illetive manificontait and obset New perspective on anomalies: Belle II Radiative and EW-PftBideGaySian for Talk by K. Yoshihara

- Except for $B \rightarrow X_{s+d} \gamma$ inclusive, all channels are highly statistics limited.
 - Expect systematics to be subdominant beyond 50 ab⁻¹
 - Key to understand beam background induced efficiency loss and EECL **degradation** in $B \rightarrow Kvv$.
- SM level (5 σ) in B \rightarrow Xvv. Novel ALPs/Scalars/LLPs searches in B decays.

-	Observables	Belle
	PTEP 2019 (2019) 12, 123C01	(2017)
-	$\mathcal{B}(B \to K^{*+} \nu \overline{\nu})$	$<40\times10^{-6}$
	$\mathcal{B}(B \to K^+ \nu \overline{\nu})$	$< 19 \times 10^{-6}$
*	$A_{CP}(B \to X_{s+d}\gamma) \ [10^{-2}]$	$2.2 \pm 4.0 \pm 0.8$
*	$S(B \to K_S^0 \pi^0 \gamma)$	$-0.10 \pm 0.31 \pm$
*	$S(B \to \rho \gamma)$	$-0.83 \pm 0.65 \pm$
*	$A_{FB}(B \to X_s \ell^+ \ell^-) \ (1 < q^2 < 3.5 \ \text{GeV}^2/c^4)$	26%
*	$Br(B \to K^+ \mu^+ \mu^-)/Br(B \to K^+ e^+ e^-)$	28%
	$(1 < q^2 < 6 \text{ GeV}^2/c^4)$	
*	$Br(B \rightarrow K^{*+}(892)\mu^+\mu^-)/Br(B \rightarrow$	24%
	$K^{*+}(892)e^+e^-) \ (1 < q^2 < 6 \ \mathrm{GeV}^2/c^4)$	
	$\mathcal{B}(B_s \to \gamma \gamma)$	$< 8.7 \times 10^{-6}$
	$\mathcal{B}(B_s \to \tau \tau) \ [10^{-3}]$	

Beauty 2020

Belle II - LHCb Comparison

Belle II

Higher sensitivity to decays with photons and neutrinos (e.g. $B \rightarrow Kvv, \mu v$), inclusive decays, time dependent CPV in B_{d} , τ physics.

LHCb

Higher production rates for ultra rare B, D, & K decays, access to all b-hadron flavours (e.g. Λ_b), high boost for fast B_s oscillations.

Overlap in various key areas to verify discoveries.

Upgrades

Most key channels will be stats. limited (not theory or syst.). LHCb scheduled major upgrades during LS3 and LS4. Belle II formulating a 250 ab⁻¹ upgrade program post 2028.

Observable

CKM precision, new physics in C

arXiv: 1808.08865 (Physics case for LHCb upgrade II), PTEP 2019 (2019) 12, 123C01 (Belle II Physics Book)

Beauty 2020

+ Important contributions on B and D flavour physics from ATLAS, CMS, BESIII.

Curr Bel Bab	rent le/ oar	2019 LHCb	Belle II (5 ab ⁻¹)	Belle II (50 ab ⁻¹)	LHCb (23 fb ⁻¹)	Belle II Upgrade (250 ab ⁻¹)	LHC upgrade (300 fb
P Violatio	<u>n</u>						
	0.03	0.04	0.012	0.005	0.011	0.002	0.0
	13°	5.4°	4.7°	1.5°	1.5°	0.4°	C
	4°	_	2	0.6°	_	0.3°	
	4.5%	6%	2%	1%	3%	<1%	
	_	49 mrad	_	_	14 mrad	_	4 m
	0.08	Ο	0.03	0.015	0	0.007	
	0.15	_	0.07	0.04	_	0.02	
enguins, L	LFUV						
	0.32	Ο	0.11	0.035	0	0.015	
	0.24	0.1	0.09	0.03	0.03	0.01	0
	6%	10%	3%	1.5%	3%	<1%	
24	1% , –	—	9%, 25%	4%, 9%	_	1.7%, 4%	
	_	90%	_	_	34%	_	1
	_	8.5×10-4	_	5.4×10-4	1.7×10-4	2×10-4	0.3×1
	1.2%	—	0.5%	0.2%	_	0.1%	
<120	×10-9	_	<40×10-9	<12×10-9	_	<5×10-9	
<21	×10-9	<46×10-9	<3×10-9	<3×10-9	<16×10-9	<0.3×10-9	<5×1

• *Possible in similar channels, lower precision* -Not competitive.

- upgrade plans and technique development will serve us well.
- FCNC NP, and tests of LQCD.
- **New ideas**.
- **Collaboration** and competition.
 - area: LHC B \rightarrow µµ/ee, BESIII+LHCb Φ_3 , HFLAV activities, BFs for normalisation.

The B-factories (inc. LHCb) were built to be very good for flavour, but have weaknesses. Continued

e.g. LFU **Anomalies**: there's often neutrinos, often a bremsstrahlung tail. Needs 1) improved/ evolving calorimetry techniques, and ideally reduced material, improved mapping; 2) faster, better particle ID and robust tracking with maximal phase space coverage: all things we strive for.

Theory errors are substantial in SM precision measurements. We need sufficient emphasis on measurements of theory control modes, QCD effects in precision SM analyses and precise tests of

The physics plans (Belle II, BESIII, LHCb etc.) were written to benchmark the experiment prospects and develop ideas. Newcomers - read the physics plans but then put them down and innovate.

Collaborative work between flavour machines to address outstanding problems are an important

Conclusion

Thanks to IMPU Tokyo for hosting the conference.

There were lots of new and exciting results and ideas shared.

KAVLI

東京大学国際高等研究所カブリ数物連携宇宙研究機構 KAVLI INSTITUTE FOR THE PHYSICS AND MATHEMATICS OF THE UNIVERSE

